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## CSCB58 Week 2: Summary

## Week 2 review

- Using logic gates
- Combinational circuits
- Circuit reduction
- Karnaugh maps


## Digital Logic \& Design



## Question \#1

- How can you express a two-input XOR gate as a combination of NAND and NOT gates?
- Draw the circuit using only these two logic gates.

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |



- Remember De Morgan's!

- $(\bar{W}+\bar{Z})=(\bar{W})$


## Question \#2

- How can you implement a NOT gate from a 2-input NAND gate?



## Question \#2

- How can you implement a NOT gate from a 2-input NAND gate?



## Question \#3 - Minterms

- Write Y in SOM (Sum Of Minterms) form.



## Question \#4

- Given the minterms below, can you fill in the truth table on the right?

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y=m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{7}+m_{9} \\
+m_{12}+m_{14}
\end{gathered}
$$

| A | B | C | D | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

## Question \#5

- What is the most reduced form, in sum of products form, of the function from the truth table on the right?

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y=m_{0} & +m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{5} \\
& +m_{7}+m_{8}+m_{9} \\
& +m_{10}+m_{13}+m_{15}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |

## Question \#5 (cont'd)

|  | $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{C} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\overline{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{D}+\overline{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}
$$

## Question \#5 (alternative)

- An alternative grouping:

|  | $\overline{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\overline{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{D}+\overline{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}
$$

## Helpful Hint
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